

CITY OF REDMOND REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION FORM

((Staff Use Only)
File N	Vo:
Date	Received:

This Request for Reconsideration form is for City of Redmond Hearing Examiner decisions and recommendations only.

Any person who participated in the hearing (Party of Record) may file a written request with the Hearing Examiner for reconsideration within 10 business days of the date of the Hearing Examiner's decision or recommendation. The request shall explicitly set forth alleged errors of procedure or fact. The Hearing Examiner shall either deny the request or issue a revised decision in accordance with RZC 21.76.

Requests for Reconsideration may be delivered to the Office of the Hearing Examiner by email, mail, personal delivery or by fax before 5:00 P.M on the last day of the reconsideration period.

City of Redmond Office of the Hearing Examiner Contact Information:

Mailing Address:
Office of the Hearing Examiner
P.O. Box 97010, 3NFN
Redmond, WA 98073

Physical Address: City Hall, 3rd Floor 15670 NE 85th Street Redmond, WA 98052 Phone: 425-556-2191
Fax: 425-556-2198
Email: cdxanthos@redmond.gov

Email: cdxanthos@redmond.gov
Web: http://www.redmond.gov

Section A. General Information Name of Party of Record: Rew Adams Address: 13404 NE 111th Court City: Redmond State: WA Zip: 98052 Email: rewadams@live.com Phone: (home) NA (work) 425705-9635 (cell) 206-349-7854 Name of project that is being appealed: Terrene @ 132nd File number of project that is being appealed: Date of decision on project you are appealing: August 31st, 2015 Expiration date of appeal period: September 15, 2015

(Continued on other side)



CITY OF REDMOND REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION FORM

	(Staff Use Only)
File	No:
	e Received:

<u>Sect</u>	tion B. Basis for Appeal
1.	Please indicate whether this request for reconsideration addresses an error in PROCEDURE $___$, LAW $___$ and/or an error in FACT $__X_$.
to be home for	Please provide a concise statement identifying each alleged error; identify the specific factual, legal or procedural errors or misinterpretations; and/or identify the specific laws, code sections or plan policies that have been misapplied, misinterpreted or violated. (Attach additional sheet if necessary.) claims by my developer would be considered heresay under the law. However, I know the following e true: the City of Redmond allowed my devloper to put in a stub that was sufficient for the existing less south of Conover Commons; the City of Redmond did not require adding any capacity at that time future development; and the City of Redmond allowed the platting of Conover Commons to include a perty on top of the easement. The law should not punish and physically damage the proiperty of over Commons due to the errors of the City of Redmond. Our government should be held puntable. Why does the law allow for this violation with no accountability for our government cials. If they are unsure then they need to ensure extra accommmodations or not allow for any matives.
	Please state the facts demonstrating how you are adversely affected by the decision/recommendation (attach additional sheets if necessary): easement borders my property. I have demostrated and testified to my concerns. These are in the ord.

4. Please state the specific relief requested (attach additional sheets if necessary). That Terrene use the southern border of our property as originally requested to mitigate potential damage and loss of access to actual homes. And thesa the City of Redmond be required to ensure that the restoration work is equivalent of better than the existing infrastructure.